



FFG

Promoting Innovation.

VERSION 4.2
VALID AS OF 1ST JANUARY 2022 FOR ECALL ONLINE SUBMISSIONS



GUIDELINES FOR FLAGSHIP PROJECTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Tables.....	3
1 PREFACE	4
2 THE BASIS FOR GETTING FUNDED	5
2.1 What are Flagship Projects?.....	5
2.2 What demands are placed on the consortium?.....	6
2.3 What are the responsibilities of the consortium leader?	7
2.4 Who is eligible to receive funding?.....	7
2.5 Can partners from outside Austria participate?	8
2.6 How much support is granted?.....	9
2.7 What costs are eligible?.....	11
2.8 What about intellectual property rights?	11
2.9 What criteria are used to assess applications for funding?	12
2.10 Which content and what documents are required for submission? ..	14
2.11 Is it necessary to mention other projects?.....	15
2.12 Is scientific integrity ensured?.....	15
3 SUBMISSION PROCEDURE	16
3.1 What is the procedure for submission?.....	16
3.2 How will confidential project data be used?.....	17
4 ASSESSMENT AND DECISION	19
4.1 What is the formal check?	19
4.2 How is the evaluation procedure organised?.....	19
4.3 Who takes the funding decision?.....	20
5 FUNDING PROCEDURE.....	21
5.1 How is the funding contract concluded?	21
5.2 How are requirements taken into account?.....	21
5.3 How are the instalments of funding paid?.....	21
5.4 What reports and accounts are required?	22
5.5 How is the review conducted?	23
5.6 How should changes to the project be communicated?	23
5.7 Can the funding period be extended?	24
5.8 What happens after the conclusion of the project?.....	24
6 ANNEX.....	25
6.1 Research Category “Industrial Research”	25
6.2 Research Category “Experimental Development”.....	26
6.3 Technology Readiness Levels	27
6.4 Call milestones	28

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Funding rates	9
Table 2: Criterion „Quality of the project“	12
Table 3: Criterion „Suitability of project participants“	13
Table 4: Criterion „Benefit and exploitation“	13
Table 5: Criterion „Relevance to the Call“	14
Table 6: FFG instalment scheme	22
Table 7: Technology Readiness Levels.....	27

Changes from version 4.1

- Section 2.3: Change in requirements concerning evidence of an existing cooperation agreement
- Section 2.9: Specification of evaluation criteria in terms of gender relevance of the project, sustainability and relevance of the project
- Section 4.2: Specification concerning the financial check
- Section 4.3: Change in terms of funding decision
- Section 5.3: Specification with regard to payment of first instalment
- Section 6.4: Adjustment to Figure 1
- Adaptation to new funding guidelines

1 PREFACE

The FFG is your partner for research and development. These Guidelines are designed to support you in submitting your Flagship Project. It describes:

- how to obtain funding
- what conditions must be met
- how the application process works

The goals and priorities, the budget and the submission deadlines that are relevant to your project are described in the corresponding call announcement.

It is very important to the FFG that applicants can submit their proposals in a simple, straightforward and efficient manner. The present Guideline therefore supports the complete online submission of your application via the eCall system. Further information can be found in Sections 2.10 and 3.1.

2 THE BASIS FOR GETTING FUNDED

2.1 What are Flagship Projects?

Flagship Projects are large collaborative research and development projects which involve several consortium partners and have a substantial impact on one or several economic sectors. Research and development aims to develop new products, procedures or services or to significantly improve existing products, procedures or services.

Flagship Projects must meet certain requirements. A Flagship Project should:

- develop model solutions to important challenges faced by society;
- develop integrated solutions at system level;
- strengthen the competitiveness of one or several economic sectors taking into account horizontal and/or vertical integration of the value chain;
- create a long-term growth perspective for technologies, products, processes and services;
- increase the visibility of Austrian technologies, products, processes and services at national and international level;
- enhance awareness among the general public of the benefits the solutions bring.

Flagship Projects may be carried out in the research category Industrial Research and/or Experimental Development. The research categories are to be specified at the work package level.

The rights and duties are to be stipulated in a Cooperation Agreement.

The following formal requirements must be met:

- Duration: minimum 2 years and maximum 4 years.
- Minimum funding amount: EUR 2 million.
- The consortium leader must have a branch office in Austria.
- The consortium leader is the contact point for the FFG.
- The consortium leader submits the application for funding.
- Compulsory preliminary meeting with the funding provider and the Austrian Research Promotion Agency (FFG) at the latest one month before the submission deadline.
- Mandatory hearing with the applicants as part of the selection process.
- At least one mandatory review with (external) experts during the course of the project.

2.2 What demands are placed on the consortium?

The consortium must consist of at least three or more partners that are independent of each other. Partners are independent, if they own less than 25% of capital or voting right of each other (for more information regarding affiliated entities see [SME definition](#)).

In any case the consortium must include:

- two companies based in Austria, of which one must be a small or medium-sized enterprise (SME) (details see [SME definition](#)) and
- one research and knowledge dissemination organisation (research institution) (research organisation – see [GBER 2014](#)) based in Austria.

Additional requirements regarding the consortium:

- Individual enterprises account for a maximum of 70% of the total eligible project costs; shares of affiliated companies will be added together and count as one enterprise.
- The research institutions together account for a minimum of 10% and a maximum of 50% of the total eligible project costs.

In addition to that, a collaboration with other non-commercial institutions is possible. In this case, the requirements to the consortium still have to be fulfilled.

Requirements for the cooperation with research organisations:

- Research institutions must have the right to publish the results they have obtained in the project.
- Contract research and the provision of research services are not considered as collaborations within the definition of a Flagship Project.

The Consortium Agreement regulates the collaboration within the consortium and the intellectual property rights (IPR) relating to the project results. A [sample Consortium Agreement](#) is available to help you in drawing up the agreement.

The conditions to the consortium have still to be fulfilled at the end of the project. If there are changes during the project not according to the demanded consortium structure, a reclaim of the funding is possible.

2.3 What are the responsibilities of the consortium leader?

The consortium leader has the following responsibilities throughout the project duration:

- project management
- communications with the funding agency and the project participants
- examining the reports and accounts provided by the consortium partners

In your capacity as consortium leader you confirm to us that:

- you manage and distribute the funding yourself
- you communicate any changes in due time
- you provide accounts and reports in accordance with the Funding Contract

The consortium leader must ensure that a legally valid cooperation agreement has been concluded prior to the start of the project, in which all the regulations required by the Community framework have been agreed.

Moreover, the consortium leader confirms that:

- the costs charged can be clearly attributed to the project
- the project costs and content are in accordance with the approval

2.4 Who is eligible to receive funding?

Legal entities, partnerships and sole traders that are not part of the Austrian federal administration are eligible to receive funding.

The following are eligible for funding:

- Companies of any legal form
- Institutions of research and knowledge dissemination
 - Universities¹
 - Universities of applied sciences
 - Non-university research institutions
 - Technology transfer institutions, innovation agents and other research-oriented organisations such as associations with a relevant purpose
- Other non-commercial institutions
 - Local authorities and autonomous bodies (Note: Activities of local authorities falling within their statutory mandate are not eligible for funding)
 - Non-profit making organisations such as NPOs²

¹ The smallest possible unit of a university is an institute of the university or a organisation comparable to a UOG 2002/§20 organisation unit. It is a precondition that the participating organisation unit (institute or comparable unit) is authorised with corresponding mandate according to UOG 2002/§ 27. Units below (for example working groups) can not act as project participants.

² “Non-profit making organisations” do not distribute profits to their owners, members or other natural persons or legal entities in accordance with their legal status or articles of association.

The following may participate but may not receive funding:

- Subcontractors: they are not participants within the definition of a Flagship Project. They provide defined tasks for project participants which are listed under the cost category “third-party costs” and are not entitled to exploit the project results.
- Other participants: these are persons or institutions that do not receive funding, but are mentioned in the Funding Contract, including the scope of their participation. Their rights and duties are also stipulated by contract. Their participation needs to be justified in the application. Potential “other participants” may also include persons or institutions of the Austrian federal administration

Not eligible:

Due to incompatibility, organisations which have, in the name of the FFG or the funding authority, contributed essentially to the evaluation or the design of a funding measure in connection with this specific call within the last three years, are not allowed to participate in this call in any way.

If this refers to different units of an organisation, a participation in this specific call has to be coordinated with the call management of the FFG. In any case, it has to be explained that no conflict of interest can occur.

The FFG reserves the right to exclude applicants due to incompatibilities.

2.5 Can partners from outside Austria participate?

A consortium may have participants from outside Austria.

Partners from outside the EU may also receive funding unless this is specifically excluded in the relevant call.

The following conditions apply:

- The non-Austrian partners create benefit for the Austrian consortium partners and/or Austria as a business and research location;
- This benefit is explicitly indicated in the application for funding;
- Grants paid to partners from outside Austria do not exceed 20% of the total funding amount;
- The evaluation committee recommends providing funding to the non-Austrian partner;
- The partners from outside Austria prove their credit-worthiness and liquidity in accordance with the criteria applied to Austrian partners prior to contract formation;
- The non-Austrian partners accept the FFG’s obligation and entitlement to review the project as specified in the Funding Contract and submit relevant documentary evidence in German or English.

Alternatively, non-Austrian organisations may cover their costs from own funds and/or from funds provided by their home country. Collaborative agreements for joint funding are in place with several European and non-European countries.

The European **EUREKA** initiative, for example, provides funding support for cross-border collaborations across calls. The call announcement will specify whether these collaborative agreements can be used for a specific Cooperative R&D Project.

Organisations from outside Austria may also be involved as subcontractors.

2.6 How much support is granted?

Support is paid in the form of non-repayable grants and amounts to a **minimum of EUR 2 million** per project.

The funding rate varies depending on the type of partner and the research category:

- The funding rate for enterprises is based on the research category and company size
- The funding rate for research institutions and other institutions is based on the research category only, provided that the contribution involves a non-commercial activity.
- If the contribution to the project of a research institution or other institution involves a commercial activity the funding rates are the same as those for enterprises.
- It has to be stated in the application if additional funding is granted by any other funding institution. If multiple funding is gained the cumulative funding must not exceed the European funding limit– funding from different funding authorities - may not override the cumulative funding height of the legal European legal funding aid limits (see [GBER: Regulation \(EU\) No. 651/2014, OJ L 187/48](#), extended by the Regulation (EU) 2020/972 from 2nd July 2020).

Funding rates

Table 1: Funding rates

Type of organisation	Research category Industrial research	Research category Experimental development
Small enterprise	80 %	60 %
Medium-sized enterprise	70 %	50 %
Large enterprise	55 %	35 %
Research institutions (non-commercial activities)	85 %	60 %
Non-commercial institutions (non-commercial activities)	80 %	60 %

Non-commercial activities of research institutions include:

- primary activities such as education
- research and development, independent or as part of an effective collaboration
- knowledge dissemination and transfer (see [Community framework](#))

Non-commercial activities of non-commercial institutions include contributions to R&D projects related to the development of products, services and systems, where they act, e.g., as public or private end user organisations.

The company size is to be determined according to the SME definition as specified by EU competition law: see information on [SME definition](#)

Experimental development

Experimental development involves developing something new from something that already exists and/or improving something that already exists. This includes:

- acquiring existing knowledge and abilities
- combining existing knowledge and abilities
- shaping existing knowledge and abilities
- using existing knowledge and abilities

Irrespective of whether the project involves scientific, technical, economic or other knowledge and abilities – the aim is to develop new or improved products, procedures or services.

Experimental development does not include routine or regular adaptations, even if these modifications would represent improvements (see in German language: [FFG-Richtlinien](#), 10.1 Begriffsbestimmungen und Spezifika für Beihilfen für Forschung und Entwicklung und Innovation sowie für Ausbildung).

Industrial research

Industrial research has the following characteristics:

- The focus is on planned research or critical investigation to acquire new knowledge and abilities
- Industrial research takes place mainly in the laboratory or at laboratory scale
- The development risk is higher than for experimental development
- The technology readiness level is lower
- The time horizon for market introduction is longer

For further details on both research categories see the [Annex](#).

May a Flagship Project involve both industrial research and experimental development?

Flagship Projects may involve both industrial research and experimental development on work package level. In this case the content and costs of the

individual work packages must be clearly allocated to the relevant category (industrial research or experimental development) in the application. The relevant funding rates will then apply to the individual work packages. However, the corresponding funding decision is dependent on the clear allocation of work packages and the confirmation by the evaluation committee.

2.7 What costs are eligible?

Eligible costs must be allocable directly to the project. This means that:

- they are incurred additionally to the normal operating costs during the funding period
- they are in accordance with the Funding Contract
- they can be evidenced by receipts

The earliest possible date for the start of the project is after submission of the application for funding.

For details on the eligibility of costs see the [Cost Guidelines](#).

Special provisions for Flagship Projects:

Third-party costs are limited to 20% of the total costs per participating organisation. Any excess must be justified in the Project Description. This limit does not apply to services provided by affiliated companies which are shown as third-party costs.

2.8 What about intellectual property rights?

Intellectual property rights relating to the project results belong to the consortium. The provisions of the [Community framework for state aid for research and development and innovation](#), 2014/C 198/01, apply to collaborations between commercial companies and research institutions.

This document stipulates that the intellectual property rights are to be allocated to the research institutions in a manner which adequately reflects their work, contributions and interests. If the rights are assigned to the companies involved, the research institutions shall receive compensation equivalent to the market price.

Please note in this context that expenditure for the protection of intellectual property (IPR) is eligible for funding. This includes costs for patent applications and patent searches. Patent maintenance costs are not eligible for funding.

2.9 What criteria are used to assess applications for funding?

Applications for funding are evaluated according to 4 criteria:

- 1 Quality of the project
- 2 Suitability of project participants
- 3 Benefit and exploitation
- 4 Relevance to the call

The tables below show the relevant sub-criteria. In the course of the assessment, points will be assigned to each criterion. Projects not reaching the stated threshold value for a certain criterion will be rejected. Reaching zero points in one of the sub-criteria of the 4th criteria "Relevance to the Call" the project will be rejected as well.

Funding criteria

Table 2: Criterion „Quality of the project“

1. Quality of the project (threshold = 18 points)	max. points 30
1.1 To what extent does the innovation content of the project exceed the state of the art, existing products, services, processes or existing knowledge?	10
1.2 Have the project goals been clearly defined and can they be realistically achieved? Are the approaches suitable for achieving the goals of the individual work packages? Have the risks in the work packages been adequately addressed and corresponding measures provided?	5
1.3 Quality of planning: Is the structure of the work packages and the associated division of work adequate for the goals of the project? Is the overall planning adequate for achieving the project goals?	5
1.4 If the content of the project and the research results affect people: To what extent have gender-specific topics been taken into account in the planning process? – Quality of the analysis of the gender-specific topics – Consideration in the methodological approach of the project (more information can be found here) Projects in which content and focus have no gender relevance according to this analysis will score full points in this subcategory.	5
1.5 To what extent does the project take into account sustainability goals (ecological, social, economic), in particular in terms of climate neutrality?	5

1. Quality of the project (threshold = 18 points)	max. points 30
- How is sustainability, in particular climate neutrality, taken into account in the planning and implementation of the project and is the methodological approach chosen adequate? (more information can be found here)	

Table 3: Criterion „Suitability of project participants“

2. Suitability of project participants (threshold = 12 points)	max. points 20
2.1 Does the consortium have the necessary subject-specific and management skills and qualifications and the skills required to achieve the sustainability goals?	8
2.2 Does the project plan provide sufficient and appropriate resources for the planned implementation?	8
2.3 Does the composition of the project team reflect the aim to improve the gender balance in the sector?	4

Table 4: Criterion „Benefit and exploitation“

3. Benefit and exploitation (threshold = 18 points)	max. points 30
3.1 What is the benefit of the project for the target group(s) (e.g. users, customers, contracting authorities ...) and what are the impacts and effects (positive and negative) of the project in terms of sustainability (social, ecological, economic), in particular in terms of climate neutrality?	12
3.2 What is the benefit of the project for the project participants (e.g. in terms of expanding R&D capacities, opening up new fields of business etc.)? How concrete, transparent and complete are the exploitation strategy and the exploitation potential ?	18

Table 5: Criterion „Relevance to the Call“

4. Relevance to the call (threshold = 12 points)	max. points 20
4.1 How relevant/important is the project in terms of achieving the call objectives ? Does the project sufficiently and adequately address the call topic ?	8
4.2 To what extent does the project meet the requirements of a Flagship Project (see chapter 2.1)?	8
4.3 What is the incentive effect of the funding? To what extent will the funding help to implement the project at all and/or within a shorter timeframe, and/or with higher ambition, and/or in a larger scope?	4

2.10 Which content and what documents are required for submission?

Project applications may only be submitted electronically via [eCall](#).

The submission of R&D projects includes the following online elements:

- Online **Content Description** (eCall) presents the project content.
- Online **Consortium** (eCall) describes the expertise of the individual project partners.
- Online **Work Plan** (eCall) describes the work packages, the associated costs and elements of project management such as the time management plan (GANTT diagram), duties, milestones, results.
- Online **Cost and Financing** (eCall) describes all cost categories for each organisation involved. The sums for each individual work package are automatically displayed in the online work plan.

Attachments to the electronic application:

- Annual statement of accounts (balance sheet, profit and loss account) from the past 2 financial years
- [Declaration of SME Status](#) for associations, sole traders and non-Austrian companies

If any additional documents or attachments are required, this will be specified in the call announcement.

If the project involves participants from outside Austria, collaborative agreements with European or non-European countries may require the submission of documents that cannot be uploaded via eCall. The relevant information will be given in the call announcement. In individual cases additional supporting documents may be requested.

The call announcement also specifies the language in which applications are to be submitted, which is usually German and/or English.

2.11 Is it necessary to mention other projects?

To support the assessment of the content of the project, the application for funding must list further projects related to the current application. In doing so, the results of those projects and the generated know-how have to be described. These projects are relevant:

- Pre-projects which deliver results for this project
- Ongoing or finished projects (of the last 3 years) related to the applied project

The multiple acceptance of already funded costs or part of costs is not possible. The proposed project must be clearly distinguished from projects that have already received funding.

2.12 Is scientific integrity ensured?

Funding may only be granted to applicants who demonstrate high scientific integrity during application and project execution.

The FFG is a member of the Austrian Agency for Research Integrity – [OeAWI](#) and is thus committed to safeguarding good scientific practice.

If we suspect a lack of scientific integrity or misconduct in the course of the formal checks or of the proposal check, the relevant documents may be forwarded to the OeAWI's Commission for Scientific Integrity. The OeAWI will then decide whether to initiate an independent investigation procedure and, if necessary, will undertake the necessary investigations.

If the investigation reveals a lack of scientific integrity or misconduct (e.g. plagiarism), the application has to be rejected due to formal reasons. If funding has already been granted, the funding must be reduced, retained or reclaimed.

3 SUBMISSION PROCEDURE

3.1 What is the procedure for submission?

For the submission of a Flagship Project a **compulsory preliminary meeting** is required.

- Contact the call management in good time to arrange an appointment. Have in mind that the meeting has to take place at the latest one month before the submission deadline.
- Send a project outline to the call management via email prior to the meeting or upload it to a secure platform provided by the FFG. A **template for the project outline** is available in the download centre of the relevant call.

Applications must be electronically submitted via [eCall](#) before the deadline.

The funding application may not be submitted until all participants have submitted their partner applications via eCall.

How does it work?

- Enter the full project description consisting of content description, consortium, work plan, cost and financing online via eCall.
- The system will verify whether the costs entered meet the funding conditions (e.g. funding amount, maximum project size)
- Upload the required documents (if necessary)
- Finalise the application in eCall and click "Submit application"
- Upon successful submission, an acknowledgement will be sent automatically by email.

Not necessary:

- additional postal submission of duly executed copy

Not possible:

- Revision of the online application after submission
- Submission of additional information during the hearing as part of the meeting of the evaluation committee. The hearing only serves to explain the project proposal submitted.

The application documents are to be submitted by the consortium leader or by a duly authorised representative. The FFG may request evidence that this person is authorised to represent the consortium leader. If you are unable to provide such evidence the FFG reserves the right to reject the application for formal reasons.

An [eCall tutorial](#) is available.

3.2 How will confidential project data be used?

The FFG processes the personal data of funding applicants and funding recipients provided by the data subjects as part of the application for funding, data collected by the FFG for the purpose of concluding the funding contract, and data generated by searches in the transparency portal according to Sec. 32 (5) of the Transparency Database Act (TDBG 2012) for the following purposes:

- Processing of the funding application and assessment of whether the general and specific funding requirements have been met,
- Conclusion of the funding contract and (if a funding contract has been concluded) compliance with the relevant contractual obligations, including but not limited to administration of the funding payments and monitoring of compliance with funding requirements,
- Compliance with statutory obligations, including but not limited to reporting obligations and control purposes in order to avoid double funding (i.e. Sec. 38 in conjunction with 18, 27 ARR, as well as Sec. 12 FTFG and Sec. 9 FFG-G).

The legal basis of processing is therefore Art. 6 (1) (b) GDPR, i.e. performance of a contract, and Art 6 (1) (c) GDPR, i.e. compliance with legal obligations.

The personal data will be disclosed to the following institutions in compliance with legal obligations.

- the federal ministries as owners of the FFG, other contracting authorities for the management of funding measures (e.g. other federal ministries, regional governments, KLIEN)
- third parties, which may include the Court of Audit, EU bodies, and other federal or regional funding agencies

National and international experts will get access to the submitted documents for the evaluation of the proposals – see Chapter 4.2. Such experts act as processors on behalf of the FFG and are required to take technical and organisational measures to ensure data security and data confidentiality

Project content and results may only be published (e.g. on the website or in social media forums) with the consent of the funding recipient (Art 6 (1) (a) GDPR) unless the FFG has a legal obligation to do so.

The FFG must also obtain the consent of the data subject for any other data use exceeding these provisions.

The FFG is under a legal obligation to maintain secrecy concerning company and project information pursuant to Sec. 9 (4) of the Austrian Research Promotion Agency Act (FFG-G, Federal Law Gazette BGBl. I No. 73/2004).

The FFG will ensure a level of security appropriate to the risk in terms of confidentiality, integrity, availability and resilience of the systems by implementing technical and organisational measures within the meaning of Art. 32 GDPR that are

sufficient and appropriate for protecting the data against accidental or unlawful destruction, loss and unauthorised access.

Further information about ensuring the confidentiality and security of personal data during the course of the project is available in the eCall tutorial.

4 ASSESSMENT AND DECISION

4.1 What is the formal check?

In the formal check the application is examined for formal correctness and completeness.

You will be notified of the result of the formal check within 4 weeks via an eCall message:

- If the formal criteria are not met and the deficiencies cannot be corrected, the application for funding will not enter the subsequent steps of the procedure.
- If the deficiencies can be corrected, you may rectify these problems within a reasonable period of time

Should it transpire after the formal check that incorrect information has been given, the funding application may also be removed from consideration at a subsequent point in the procedure.

The relevant **checklist** can be found in the call announcement.

4.2 How is the evaluation procedure organised?

The documents submitted will be reviewed by national and international experts based on the criteria given in Chapter 2.9.

In addition, a **hearing** will be organised by the funding agency. The hearing **does not** supplement or replace the submitted written documents. It serves solely to enable the members of the evaluation committee to raise questions.

An evaluation committee will make a recommendation on funding taking into account the written reviews and the results of the hearing.

It is possible to exclude reviewers (individuals or staff of particular organisations) in justified cases. eCall contains an entry field for this purpose.

FFG experts will check the financial potential (credit rating and liquidity) of the participating enterprises. They may request additional documents that are required for completing the financial check. Undertakings in difficulty cannot receive funding. Evaluation if an enterprise has to be classified as undertaking „in difficulty“ takes place in accordance with the European legal basis of this funding, the [General block exemption regulation](#) (OJ L 187/19, as amended by OJ L 270/39 from 29 July 2021).

Additional recommendations and requirements may be formulated in the course of the assessment. Recommendations are non-binding remarks of the evaluation committee, which should support the consortium in the implementation of the project.

Requirements are binding, see Chapter 5.2.

4.3 Who takes the funding decision?

Information as to who takes the funding decision can be found in the respective call guideline.

5 FUNDING PROCEDURE

5.1 How is the funding contract concluded?

In case of a positive funding decision, the FFG will provide the consortium with a view in the eCall system with the most important basic parameters of the funding contract (e.g. amount of funding granted, amount of eligible costs, start and ending date of the funding period, reporting obligations and possible binding requirements).

After acceptance of the view within the given deadline, the FFG prepares the funding contract and delivers it to the consortium. The consortium returns the duly signed funding contract. Thereby the funding contract is valid in law. Until then, there is no title for being funded.

5.2 How are requirements taken into account?

Binding requirements may be formulated in the course of the assessment of the funding application.

Two types of additional requirements are possible:

- Requirements that must be met prior to the conclusion of a funding contract.
- Requirements that must be met by the consortium during the course of the project.

These requirements shall form an integral part of the contract.

Additional requirements may be included in the funding contract on the basis of reviews during the course of the project.

5.3 How are the instalments of funding paid?

The first instalment will be paid once the requirements have been met and the funding contract has been signed, however, not earlier than one week before the start of the funding period. Payments are made to the bank account specified by the consortium leader. For more information, see the [sample Consortium Agreement](#).

Subsequent instalments will be paid in accordance with the progress of the project:

- once the interim reports and interim accounts have been approved
- (where necessary) once additional requirements have been met
- according to the FFG instalment scheme

If the interim reports indicate a delay in project progress or if the costs are below budget the instalment can be reduced.

The payment of funding during the course of the project does not imply approval of the costs.

FFG instalment scheme

Table 6: FFG instalment scheme

Number of reports and instalments	24 to 30 months project duration	31 to 42 months project duration	43 to 48 months project duration
Number of reports (interim reports and final report)	2	3	4
1st instalment in % of funding amount at contract conclusion	50 %	30 %	30 %
2nd instalment up to % of funding amount	40%	30 %	20 %
3rd instalment up to % of funding amount	n.a.	30%	20 %
4rd instalment up to % of funding amount	n.a.	n.a.	20 %
Final instalment up to % of funding amount	10 %	10 %	10 %

5.4 What reports and accounts are required?

- Within 1 month after the reporting deadlines specified in the funding contract, an interim report and interim accounts must be submitted via the eCall reporting function.
- Within 3 months of the conclusion of the project a final report, a (publishable) summary and the final accounts must be presented, again via the eCall reporting function. The publication of a summary may be omitted in case of incompatibility with commercial exploitation, confidentiality obligations because of security reasons or because of data protection regulations.
- If the project is aborted during the project term the consortium must submit a final report and final accounts. The FFG is entitled to reclaim money if the funding already paid exceeds the funding with regard to the eligible costs.

The reports and accounts must meet the following requirement:

- They contain the description of activities and in addition the cost statements of all consortium partners who are mentioned in the funding contract.
- Reports must be prepared using the eCall templates

Support of public relations: The funding recipients agree to work together with the FFG and the responsible ministries to support PR work, if required. This includes in particular the provision of non-confidential project information and images for electronic dissemination portals and other media purposes.

5.5 How is the review conducted?

At least one **compulsory review** by (external) experts will be conducted during the course of a flagship project. The review is organised by the funding agency.

A review includes:

- A preliminary evaluation of interim or final reports by (external) experts;
- A presentation by the funding recipients of the project's overall strategy and the results and milestones achieved to date;
- A discussion of the project's progress;
- The concluding evaluation of the project's progress by the external experts, including requirements or recommendations, if any.

5.6 How should changes to the project be communicated?

Any changes to contractual points such as project content, consortium partners, costs, deadlines or funding period must be substantiated and submitted for approval:

- via eCall message
- in the interim or final report

All relevant documents should be uploaded as an attachment to the eCall message. Any modifications to the contract parameters require the approval of the FFG.

Immediate notification is required for:

- substantial changes to the project
- changes to consortium partners such as new ownership structure or insolvency proceedings

The following changes should be communicated in the interim or final report:

- cost reallocations between cost categories, e. g. material costs to personnel costs
- cost reallocations between participants

5.7 Can the funding period be extended?

If the project goals have not been achieved and the approved level of costs has not been exceeded, the funding period may be extended for up to one year on a cost-neutral basis.

The following requirements must be met:

- the funding recipients are not responsible for the delay
- the project is still eligible for funding
- an eCall application for extension has been submitted within the approved funding period

5.8 What happens after the conclusion of the project?

The consortium submits a final report and final accounts after the end of the project. The FFG Project Controlling & Audit Division will examine whether the funding has been used appropriately. The audit will establish the final level of eligible costs.

You will receive the result of the audit in writing:

- In the event of a positive result, the appropriate use of the funding will be confirmed.
- In the event of a negative result, procedures may be initiated to secure repayment of funding

Funding details: The final instalment will be transferred once the specified cost has been reached. If the project is underspent the level of funding will be reduced accordingly. The funding amount may also be reduced for content-related reasons, as well as on formal and legal grounds.

For more information about eligible costs, see the [Cost Guidelines](#).

6 ANNEX

6.1 Research Category “Industrial Research”

Industrial research includes planned research or critical investigation to acquire new knowledge and abilities with the aim to develop new products, procedures or services or significantly improve existing ones.

This may also include:

- developing parts of complex systems
- if required for the validation of technological fundamentals:
 - building prototypes in a laboratory environment or in an environment with simulated interfaces to existing systems
 - building pilot lines

Industrial research does not extend beyond the proof of concept.

The following questions may help you allocate your project to the appropriate category. If the answers to most of these questions are yes the project is to be allocated to industrial research:

- Does the project exclude the direct commercial exploitation of the results?
- Does the project involve planned research or critical investigation with the aim to acquire new knowledge and abilities?
- Do the research activities mainly take place in the laboratory or at laboratory scale?
- Does the project involve a high research risk?
- Is the project characterised by a low level of technological maturity or integration?
- Does the project have a long time horizon in terms of market readiness with respect to the relevant sector?
- Are the prototypes used exclusively for the validation of technical fundamentals and does the project exclude the building of prototypes beyond the laboratory environment?
- Does the project exclude the development of a prototype whose form, shape, scale, function, operation and manufacture are largely similar to the final product?

6.2 Research Category “Experimental Development

Experimental development involves acquiring, combining, shaping and using existing scientific, technical, economic or other relevant knowledge and abilities with the aim to develop new or improved products, procedures or services.

This may also include:

- Activities for the design, planning and documentation of new products, procedures and services.
- If the main aim is to improve future products, procedures or services: development of prototypes, demonstration measures and pilot projects as well as testing and validation of new or improved products, procedures and services in a relevant environment under real-world operating conditions.
- Development of commercially usable prototypes and pilot projects if the developed product would be too expensive for demonstration and validation purposes alone

Experimental development does not extend beyond the demonstration of the prototype (system) in a relevant environment. Exception: commercially usable prototypes and pilot projects if the developed product would be too expensive for demonstration and validation purposes alone.

Experimental development does not include routine or regular adaptations, even if the modifications would represent improvements.

The following questions may help you allocate your project to the appropriate category. If the answers to most of these questions are yes the project is to be allocated to experimental development:

- Does the project build on existing scientific, technical, economic or other relevant knowledge and abilities so that it generates new expanded knowledge and abilities or recombines existing knowledge?
- Does the project exclude routine or regular modifications to products, production lines, production processes, existing services or other ongoing operational processes?
- Does the project exclude the direct commercial exploitation of the results or the final product? Exception: commercially usable prototypes and pilot projects if they inevitably represent the commercial end product and its production would be too expensive for demonstration and validation purposes alone.
- Does the project explicitly exclude activities aimed at series production?
- Does the project explicitly exclude activities aimed at market introduction?

6.3 Technology Readiness Levels

Where a call refers to the TRL scheme (Technology Readiness Levels), the following definitions apply:

Technology Readiness Levels

Table 7: Technology Readiness Levels

Research category	Technology Readiness Level
Oriented basic research	TRL 1 Basic principles observed
Industrial research	TRL 2 (Technology) concept formulated TRL 3 Experimental proof of (technology) concept at component level TRL 4 Technology validated in lab (on lab scale) at system level
Experimental development	TRL 5 Technology validated in relevant environment (industrially relevant environment in the case of key enabling technologies) TRL 6 Technology demonstrated in relevant environment (industrially relevant environment in the case of key enabling technologies) TRL 7 System prototype demonstrated in operational environment TRL 8 System complete and qualified
Market introduction	TRL 9 System proven in operational environment (competitive manufacturing in the case of key enabling technologies)

Technology Readiness Levels are described in the publication [“Communication from the Commission: A European strategy for Key Enabling Technologies –A bridge to growth and jobs”](#), page 18.

6.4 Call milestones

Figure 1: Milestones of a call

